

Point and Figure Relative Strength Signals

Relative Strength, also known as Momentum, has been proven to be one of the premier investment factors in use today. Numerous studies by both academics and investment professionals have demonstrated that winning securities continue to outperform. This phenomenon has been found in equity markets all over the globe as well as commodity markets and in asset allocation strategies. Momentum works well within and across markets.

Relative Strength strategies focus on purchasing securities that have already demonstrated the ability to outperform a broad market benchmark or the other securities in the investment universe. As a result, a momentum strategy requires investors to purchase securities that have already appreciated quite a bit in price.

There are many different ways to calculate and quantify momentum. This is similar to a value strategy. There are many different metrics that can be used to determine a security's value. Some of the most popular metrics for calculating relative strength use a simple time-based look back window. For example, the trailing twelve month return for each security is calculated and then all of the securities are ordered from best to worst. A momentum strategy would focus on the securities at the top of the ranks.

In this paper we focus on point and figure relative strength charts. Point and figure is simply a charting method that removes time from the x axis. It also has the added benefit of giving clear and objective signals. The first step in creating a point and figure relative strength chart is to create a ratio line of the security's price versus a market benchmark (or another security). Each day this calculation is performed and the ratio tells you whether the security is performing better than the benchmark or not. The ratio line can fall even if the security and benchmark are rising; the numerator has to rise faster than the benchmark for it to rise. Conversely, the ratio line can rise if the security is going down less than the benchmark in a bear market. Plotting the ratio line on a point and figure chart provides a logical way to filter out the short term noise and provide objective interpretations of the trend in relative strength.

A point and figure chart produces a series of columns across the page. A column of X's indicates the security is performing better than the benchmark so the ratio line is moving up. A column of O's indicates the security is underperforming the benchmark and the ratio line is falling. As columns move across the chart, a series of X and O columns appear in an alternating fashion. When the top of a current column of X's exceeds the top of the previous column of X's it is considered a buy signal (see

Figure 1: Point And Figure Patterns




Figure 1). When the current column of O's exceeds the low of the previous column of O's that is considered a sell signal. In the most basic interpretation, a point and figure relative chart has four states: on a buy signal and in a column of X's, on a buy signal in a column of O's, on a sell signal in a column of X's, and on a sell signal in a column of O's.

The point and figure column and signal give insight into the intermediate and long-term direction of momentum. Securities with the best relative strength pattern would be those on a buy signal and in a column of X's. Securities on a sell signal and in a column of O's are securities investors want to avoid.

How well do these point and figure relative strength states work for stock selection over time? To test that we constructed a universe of U.S. stocks going back to 1989. Each year we selected the top 1000 names by market capitalization as the eligible securities. The universe included currently active stocks and stocks that had been delisted because of buy-outs, bankruptcies, or any number of other reasons. Each year securities that fell out of the top 1000 in the market capitalization ranking were eliminated from the eligible universe and new securities were

added. We believe this universe is very liquid and does not suffer from a liquidity bias that appears in thinly traded stocks.


Every stock in the universe was then classified by its point and figure relative strength pattern. To calculate the relative strength charts we used the same box size and reversal for each security: 6.5% boxes with a three box minimum reversal. The benchmark for every security in the eligible universe was the S&P 500.

Each month every stock was assigned to one of four baskets based on its point and figure chart pattern. The equal weighted return of each basket was calculated each month to determine the performance of each one of the four baskets. The baskets were reconstituted and equal weighted at the end of each month.

We were then able to create four indexes that tracked the performance of each basket of stocks in a given point and figure chart pattern over time. The values of each index are shown in Figure 2. As mentioned previously, securities on a buy signal and in a column of X's have the best intermediate and long term relative strength characteristics so that is the basket of securities we would expect to perform the best over time. That is certainly the case over time. Maintaining a portfolio of stocks on relative strength point and figure buy signals and in columns of X's dramatically outperformed the other three point and figure relative strength states.

Table 1 breaks down the performance of each point and figure relative strength state by year. The S&P 500 Total Return Index is included for comparison. The S&P 500 Index is market capitalization weighted and our indexes were equally weighted

Figure 2: Cumulative Returns By Point and Figure Relative Strength Group



All Performance numbers are based on the back-tested performance of non-investable indexes. Investors cannot invest directly in an index. Indexes have no fees. Please see the final page for important disclosures regarding back-tested performance. Examples presented herein are for illustrative purposes only and do not represent past or present recommendations. Past performance not indicative of future results. Potential for profits accompanied by possibility of loss.

monthly. As a result, we have also included a monthly equal weighted return of the eligible universe to more closely resemble our weighting methodology.

Examining the returns in Table 1, you can see how important it is to remain invested in the stocks with the best intermediate and long-term relative strength characteristics. The Buy in X basket performs much better than the S&P and the equal weighted index of the eligible universe. That basket was slightly more volatile than the broad market, but it still managed to generate the best Sharpe ratio of all the baskets.

Stocks on relative strength sell signals underperformed the market by a wide margin. Whether they were in a column of X's or O's, they performed

worse with more volatility than either of the two benchmarks. Stocks in the Buy in a column of O's basket performed slightly better than the capitalization weighted S&P 500. However, this appears to be the result of our monthly equal weighting methodology as the equal weighted universe performs better over time. No matter how you look at the data, over long periods of time the stocks on point and figure relative strength buy signals and in a column of X's are really where you need to focus.

Table 1 also demonstrates the problems momentum has near the end of bear markets. The reversal off of a major bear market bottom has historically been a difficult time for relative strength strategies on a relative basis. The bottoms made in early 2003 and

Table 1: Annual Returns By Point and Figure Relative Strength Group

Date	BX	BO	SX	SO	SPXTR	Univ EQ Wt
12/29/1989						
12/31/1990	-0.65%	-9.76%	-10.45%	-18.85%	-3.10%	-10.60%
12/31/1991	55.53%	27.72%	44.97%	43.41%	30.47%	46.73%
12/31/1992	13.33%	11.23%	17.23%	23.87%	7.62%	15.44%
12/30/1993	14.55%	18.46%	7.99%	14.86%	10.59%	14.62%
12/30/1994	-4.61%	-3.57%	-2.61%	-2.67%	0.85%	-3.68%
12/29/1995	37.58%	32.04%	20.95%	29.73%	37.58%	32.47%
12/31/1996	24.57%	17.12%	10.18%	16.02%	22.96%	18.65%
12/31/1997	35.71%	26.64%	18.34%	21.62%	33.36%	26.92%
12/31/1998	20.65%	12.09%	6.61%	4.31%	28.58%	10.75%
12/31/1999	45.86%	20.99%	5.57%	5.55%	21.04%	17.23%
12/29/2000	1.95%	-3.47%	-9.84%	-13.15%	-9.10%	-4.90%
12/31/2001	-12.38%	7.21%	-3.74%	-1.07%	-11.89%	-2.59%
12/31/2002	-13.64%	-18.47%	-30.86%	-34.65%	-22.10%	-17.12%
12/31/2003	33.58%	42.38%	56.32%	69.01%	28.68%	41.06%
12/31/2004	21.24%	17.67%	20.81%	10.87%	10.88%	19.14%
12/30/2005	12.51%	6.55%	5.13%	5.48%	4.91%	10.21%
12/29/2006	17.14%	15.20%	8.77%	16.17%	15.79%	16.29%
12/31/2007	16.68%	3.15%	10.13%	-1.87%	5.49%	8.34%
12/31/2008	-33.82%	-41.22%	-45.32%	-47.66%	-37.00%	-39.75%
12/31/2009	29.25%	38.20%	61.16%	78.71%	26.46%	44.08%
12/31/2010	23.71%	28.19%	19.28%	25.84%	15.06%	24.95%
12/30/2011	2.45%	-0.69%	-7.04%	-7.47%	2.11%	-0.27%
12/31/2012	15.15%	21.01%	12.31%	16.16%	16.00%	16.69%
12/31/2013	36.36%	35.23%	40.88%	33.03%	32.39%	36.31%
Cum	2461.28%	1077.71%	526.84%	591.50%	773.88%	1234.94%
Ann	14.45%	10.81%	7.94%	8.38%	9.44%	11.39%
St dev	20.49%	19.27%	24.33%	28.25%	18.65%	20.39%
Sharpe (Rf=0)	0.71	0.56	0.33	0.30	0.51	0.56

All Performance numbers are based on the back-tested performance of non-investable indexes. Investors cannot invest directly in an index. Indexes have no fees. Please see the final page for important disclosures regarding back-tested performance. Examples presented herein are for illustrative purposes only and do not represent past or present recommendations. Past performance not indicative of future results. Potential for profits accompanied by possibility of loss.

2009 show this scenario. At these times, the lag-gard securities often rise much faster than the stocks that held up the best during the latter part of the decline. This is one time when it is materially better to own stocks with poor relative strength rankings. But over long periods of time the best results

come from focusing on stocks with the best rankings.

The performance of the stocks with the best point and figure relative strength characteristics has also been quite consistent versus the S&P 500 and our

equal weighted benchmark. On a rolling 3 year basis, the Buy in X's basket outperformed the S&P 500 Total Return index in 85% of the months, and it outperformed the equal weighted universe in 76% of the months. These numbers are right in line with what we have seen in other studies on the consistency of momentum returns.

Momentum is an investment factor that has worked very well for over a century. The momentum factor has been through bull markets, bear markets, and sideways markets and still continues to deliver out-

performance versus broad market benchmarks.

Plotting a ratio of a security versus a benchmark on a point and figure chart is one way to objectively classify securities in to groups based on their intermediate and long-term relative strength characteristics. When using point and figure relative strength classifications, it is important to focus on securities with only the best rankings. The only group of securities that outperformed the benchmarks in this test was the basket that held all of the stocks on a point and figure Buy signal and in a column of X's. All of the other baskets underperformed over time.

Disclosures

Nothing contained herein should be construed as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security. This report does not attempt to examine all the facts and circumstances which may be relevant to any company, industry or security mentioned herein. We are not soliciting any action based on this document. It is for the general information of clients of Dorsey, Wright & Associates, LLC ("Dorsey, Wright & Associates"). This document does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Before acting on any analysis, advice or recommendation in this document, clients should consider whether the security or strategy in question is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice. Dorsey, Wright & Associates, its officers, directors, partners and/or other associated persons may own, hold options, rights or warrants to purchase some of the securities or assets mentioned in this report, or close equivalents. Even if Dorsey, Wright & Associates does not currently hold the asset, it may in the future. Dorsey, Wright & Associates may elect to buy or sell these assets or change its opinion without regard to this report, and without prior notice. The price and value of investments referred to in this document, if any, and the income from them may go down as well as up, and investors may realize losses on any investments.

This report is based on public information that we consider reliable, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. Opinions expressed herein are our opinions as of the date of this document. We do not intend to and will not endeavor to update the information discussed in this document.

The projections or other information in the attached document regarding the likelihood of various investment outcomes are hypothetical in nature, do not reflect actual investment results and are not guarantees of future results. Results may vary with each use and over time. The outcomes in this document are based on historical market data and are presented to illustrate the relative strength strategy. These outcomes cannot be relied upon in the face of changing market conditions, and should be re-evaluated frequently.

The performance information presented is the result of back-tested performance. Back-tested performance is hypothetical (it does not reflect trading in actual accounts) and is provided for informational purposes to illustrate the effects of relative strength during a specific period. The relative strength strategy is NOT a guarantee. There may be times where all investments and strategies are unfavorable and depreciate in value. Relative Strength is a measure of price momentum based on historical price activity. Relative Strength is not predictive and there is no assurance that forecasts based on relative strength can be relied upon.

Back-tested performance results have certain limitations. Such results do not represent the impact of material economic and market factors might have on an investment advisor's decision making process if the advisor were actually managing client money. Back-testing performance also differs from actual performance because it is achieved through retroactive application of a model investment methodology designed with the benefit of hindsight. Dorsey, Wright & Associates believes the data used in the testing to be from credible, reliable sources, however; Dorsey, Wright & Associates makes no representation or warranties of any kind as to the accuracy of such data. All available data representing the full platform of investment options is used for testing purposes.
